UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,817	01/08/2004	Rhonda L. Childress	AUS920030939US1	6768
35525 7590 04/12/2010 IBM CORP (YA) C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC P.O. BOX 802333 DALLAS, TX 75380			EXAMINER	
			ANWARI, MACEEH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2444	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte RHONDA L. CHILDRESS, DAVID BRUCE KUMHYR, and NEIL RAYMOND PENNELL

Appeal 2010-001458 Application 10/753,817 Technology Center 2400

Mailed: April 12, 2010

Before DALE M. SHAW, Division 2 Support Administrator

ORDER REMANDING APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on November 16, 2009. A Docketing Notice was mailed and Appeal Number 2010-001458 was assigned on December 1, 2009. A review of the application has revealed that the application was not ready for an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being

Appeal 2010-001458 Application 10/753,817

remanded to the Examiner. The matter requiring attention is identified below.

Claim 11 of the instant application contains functional language that may be indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. *See Aristocrat Techs. Austl. Pty Ltd. v. Int'l Game Tech.*, 521 F.3d 1328 (Fed Cir. 2008); *Ex parte Rodriquez*, 92 USPQ2d 1395 (BPAI 2009). On September 2, 2008, the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy, John J. Love, issued a memorandum entitled "Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, when examining means (or step) plus function claim limitations under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph," located at: http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/section_112_6 th_09_02_2008.pdf. Thus, there is a question as to whether claim 11, and the claims which depend upon this claim, meets the requirements of being

Accordingly, it is

definite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner to determine if claim 11, and the claims which depend upon this claim, meets the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

If there are any questions pertaining to this order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

babc

Appeal 2010-001458 Application 10/753,817

IBM CORP (YA) C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC P.O. BOX 802333 DALLAS, TX 75380